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Housing in a DBB

- Housing sits at the heart of many wider social issues, and it will sit at the heart of the development of a Digital Built Britain (DBB)
- Delivering DBB is not simply about technological solutions to make supply and maintenance more efficient, although this is important
- Also about understanding how those solutions and efficiency gains interact with wider social policy issues to address UK housing inequalities
UK housing crisis – supply?

- Lack of supply
- Few house builders
- Lack of innovation
Industry challenges

- Farmer’s 2016 review of the UK construction labour model was dramatically titled Modernise or Die: Time to decide the industry’s future
- Low productivity
- Not embracing the potential of technology
- Problems of future workforce capacity, ageing workforce, low level of new entrants, deep and recurring recessions
- Lack of collaboration and improvement culture, which prevents organisations from scaling up, sharing risks and creating more business plan certainty
- Lack of R&D and investment in innovation
Off-site housing construction

• Digitisation and off-site manufacturing might offer solutions
• House of Lords report concluded that emerging digital technologies have the potential to transform off-site into a more viable alternative to on-site construction (2018)
• Government presumption in favour of off-site manufacturing
• Includes:
  – Volumetric construction - three-dimensional units which are fully fitted out off-site;
  – Pods - used in conjunction with another construction method e.g. bathroom or kitchen pods;
  – Panelised systems - panels with timber or light steel framing, structural insulated panels or cross-laminated timber;
  – Sub-assemblies and components - larger components incorporated into new homes, including roof and floor cassettes, prefabricated chimneys, porches and dormers, and I-beams.
Potential benefits?

- To build at scale, at speed, sustainable, future-proofed
- Faster build programme
- Fewer defects, higher quality
- Easier to ensure buildings meet quality assurance standards
- Address the skills shortage
- Fewer labourers and increased productivity
- Cost advantages from economies of scale
- Improved health and safety
- Reduced disruption to the local community
- Improved sustainability
- Creating more regional jobs away from large conurbations
Evidence of benefits?

- National Audit Office (2005) suggested that using modern methods of construction should make it possible to build up to four times as many homes with the same on-site labour, and that on-site construction time can be reduced by over a half.

- New entrants into the market – but early days
- Data on impact and evidence of benefits is limited
- Failure to capture learning from successful innovations
Constraints

- Public attitudes
- Cost is a barrier to uptake - risk
- Build cost is only proportion of costs
- Current house builder business models
- Confidence innovation will be commercially rewarding
- Nature of construction procurement restricts collaboration between client and supply chain
- Land supply, the planning system, NIMBY-ism
- Reduce incentives to invest in innovation
UK housing crisis – management and maintenance?

- Expansion PRS, only 24% of the stock was built after 1980
- Age disparities affect quality, security and energy efficiency
- Raises management and maintenance issues
- Third of PRS homes considered non-decent (DCLG, 2017)
- Impact on safety
- Across the UK, 470 buildings are using the aluminium cladding responsible for the Grenfell fire
- Impacts health and well-being: 15 million people in the UK live in poor housing
- Cost: poor housing conditions are the source of 70% of NHS costs (NHBC, 2018)
Challenges in governance and maintenance

- Lack of regulation
- Lack of incentives
- Lack of means and technology
- Lack of data
OSM and asset management

• Benefits of offsite and standardised components for asset management industry?
• Impact on long term management and maintenance?
• Digitally enabled OSM, BIM, DFM
• Building Information Modelling (BIM) - throughout the building life-cycle, from construction to facilities management
• 3D model-based process - tools to more efficiently plan, design, construct and manage buildings and infrastructure, to:
  – automatically generate inspection plans
  – digital life-cycle scenarios
  – share better-informed data with different stakeholders involved in order to reduce costs and risks
  – transform management capabilities
  – reduce costs involved in managing the asset
Benefits?

• “Golden thread of building information” (Hackitt review, 2018)
• All safety-related information is gathered throughout the building life cycle
• Address the fragmentation of stakeholder’s activities and responsibilities, as well as the burden of excessive documentation
• Might help to save 5% in the construction cost (Hackitt review)
• Reduce repair and maintenance costs
Challenges

- Evidence and quantification of benefits?
- Challenge of retrofit and existing stock
- Research on “human barriers”
- Issues of data ethics, privacy and security of access
- Governance challenges and attribution of responsibility
Current research

- Housing stock represents 80% of the total built floor space in England
- By value, housing represents 35% of all new construction work, and 53% of all repair and maintenance work
- NHBC pays out £85m pa to rectify defects in new build homes
- There is therefore significant value that could be realised if digital uptake was improved
- Research on the socio-economic inhibitors to the take up of digital innovation in construction
- Construction Innovation Hub
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